## **Pediatric Protocol Checklist for Original IDEs or IDE Supplements**

The following parameters should be reviewed for protocols which include a purely or partially pediatric (i.e., birth to 21 years) population. Please also consult the guidance "Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices" for more details at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mdufma/guidance/1220.html">http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mdufma/guidance/1220.html</a>.

## **Report of Prior Investigations**

1. Does the following testing support initiation of the	clinical tria	al in the specified
<ul><li>pediatric population?</li><li>Preclinical</li></ul>	VFS.	_ NO
Bench/animal		NO:
<ul> <li>Prior adult clinical testing, as appropriate</li> </ul>		
Comments:		
<ul> <li>2. Does the following testing support initiation of the pediatric population?</li> <li>Preclinical*</li> </ul>		al in the specified  NO
<ul> <li>Bench/animal*</li> </ul>		 _ NO:
• Prior adult clinical testing, as appropriate		
<ul> <li>*For preclinical, bench and/or animal model determinate to approximate the pediatric population?</li> <li>Were age-matched animals used? If so, described determined.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Structural/anatomical considerations</li> <li>Was implant size for initial placement of Did sizing consideration include gradual</li> </ul>		

- Did preclinical testing include safety and effectiveness determinations in models designed to reproduce the clinical condition?
  - Were the animals induced chemically or biologically to recapitulate the condition? If so, identify the system used.
  - o If immune responses are possible, were immunological studies conducted with age-correlated organisms?

Co	omments:
3.	Has adequate background information on the pediatric population with the conditio to be treated or diagnosed, including numbers of pediatric patients affected and the
	pediatric subpopulation being evaluated, been provided?
Co	YES: NO: omments:
4.	Have other available treatment(s) for this population been adequately discussed?
Co	YES: NO: omments:
_	
ves	tigational Plan – Protocol
4.	A)Identification of population: Has the patient population been defined (i.e., newborn, infant, child, adolescent)? Are there subpopulations within these groups that should be defined (e.g., low birth weight, preterm, neonate, infant, school age, preadolescent, adolescent)?
	YES: NO:
Co	omments:
) H	as appropriate long-term follow-up been considered? YES NO
Co	omments:
5.	Have the inclusion/exclusion criteria for the identified pediatric population been adequately refined?
	YES: NO:

-	
6.	Are there adequate safeguards in place within the protocol, including:
	• Availability of pediatric expertise throughout the trial;
	• Case report forms;
	An appropriate schedule for treatment and follow-up;    Continue
	• Plan for reporting to a Clinical Events Committee or Data Safety Monitoring Board, guardian(s)' accessibility to study investigators and/or coordinators in the event of any concerns; and
	• Emergency preparedness plan to capture and act on adverse events (device-related or not) in a timely fashion?
,	YES:
]	NO:
	NO:
	NO:
	NO:
Con	NO: nments:
Con	NO:
esti	gational Plan – Risk Analysis  Has the sponsor included an adequate risk/analysis with specific reference to the identified pediatric population? When assessing risk, the following critical factors
esti	gational Plan – Risk Analysis  Has the sponsor included an adequate risk/analysis with specific reference to the identified pediatric population? When assessing risk, the following critical factors should be considered:
esti	ments:  gational Plan – Risk Analysis  Has the sponsor included an adequate risk/analysis with specific reference to the identified pediatric population? When assessing risk, the following critical factors should be considered:  • age and degree of physiological and psychological maturity of the child;  • nature and natural history of the clinical condition to be treated; (e.g., genetic)
esti	gational Plan – Risk Analysis  Has the sponsor included an adequate risk/analysis with specific reference to the identified pediatric population? When assessing risk, the following critical factors should be considered:  • age and degree of physiological and psychological maturity of the child;  • nature and natural history of the clinical condition to be treated; (e.g., genetic)  • presence of complicating clinical conditions;
esti	gational Plan – Risk Analysis  Has the sponsor included an adequate risk/analysis with specific reference to the identified pediatric population? When assessing risk, the following critical factors should be considered:  • age and degree of physiological and psychological maturity of the child;  • nature and natural history of the clinical condition to be treated; (e.g., genetic

8.	In designing the device, has the sponsor considered the following factors, if applicable, with respect to device-patient interaction: impact of size (height, weight, surface area, body mass, pubertal stage), growth and development (e.g., growth plates closed for specific bones involved), and other relevant anatomical and physiological factors?
	YES: NO: Comments:
Inves	tigational Plan – Informed Consent
9.	Have all of the elements of informed consent, as identified in 21 CFR 50.25, been adequately addressed in the informed consent document provided, particularly with respect to the specified pediatric population? Has the sponsor addressed in their investigational plan the additional safeguards required by 21 CFR Part 50 Subpart D ("Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations") as bulleted here and discussed in more detail in the guidance "Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices" at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mdufma/guidance/1220.html">http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mdufma/guidance/1220.html</a> ?
	a. Assessment of whether investigation involves minimal risk or greater than minimal risk
	b. Assessment of whether investigation has prospect of direct benefit to patient or may yield generalizable knowledge
	c. Assessment of whether investigation that is not otherwise approvable may present an opportunity to understand, prevent, or alleviate a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children
	d. Requirements for permission by parents or guardians and for assent by children
	e. Inclusion of children who are wards of the state in investigations
	YES: NO: Comments:
10	. Has the sponsor indicated that pediatric assent will be sought, if age-appropriate?

10. Has the sponsor indicated that pediatric assent will be sought, if age-appropriate? Has the sponsor specifically indicated that informed consent will be sought from all or one of the patient's guardian(s) and provided a justification for their plan for consent? (Consider issues such as emancipated minors, legal guardian, foster parent, age of understanding). For further guidance, refer to 21 CFR Part 50 Subpart D ("Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations").

	YES:
	NO:
	Comments:
11.	Has the sponsor indicated what compensation if any is provided to the guardian(s) or patients? Is the compensation plan appropriate?
	YES:
	NO:
	Comments:
	Required signatures:
	Medical Officer:
	Lead Reviewer: